

A quarterly journal of acarology, since 1959 Publishing on all aspects of the Acari

All information: http://www1.montpellier.inra.fr/CBGP/acarologia/ acarologia-contact@supagro.fr



## Acarologia is proudly non-profit, with no page charges and free open access

Please help us maintain this system by

### encouraging your institutes to subscribe to the print version of the journal

and by sending us your high quality research on the Acari.

### Subscriptions: Year 2020 (Volume 60): 450 €

### http://www1.montpellier.inra.fr/CBGP/acarologia/subscribe.php

Previous volumes (2010-2018): 250 € / year (4 issues) Acarologia, CBGP, CS 30016, 34988 MONTFERRIER-sur-LEZ Cedex, France ISSN 0044-586X (print), ISSN 2107-7207 (electronic)

The digitalization of Acarologia papers prior to 2000 was supported by Agropolis Fondation under the reference ID 1500-024 through the « Investissements d'avenir » programme (Labex Agro: ANR-10-LABX-0001-01)



Acarologia is under free license and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons-BY-NC-ND which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Open Science in Acarology

### A new species and new record of Agistemus Summers (Acari: Stigmaeidae) associated with Capsicum annuum L. var. glabriusculum (Solanaceae) from Northeastern of Mexico

José Irving Monjarás-Barrera<sup>*a*</sup>, Guilherme Liberato Da Silva<sup>*b*</sup>, Julio Cesar Chacón-Hernández<sup>*a*</sup>, Onilda Santos Da Silva<sup>*c*</sup>, Noeli Juarez Ferla<sup>*b*,*d*</sup>, Liana Johann<sup>*b*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Universidad Autónoma de Tamaulipas, Instituto de Ecología Aplicada, División del Golfo 356, Colonia Libertad, C.P. 87019. Ciudad Victoria, Tamaulipas, México.

<sup>b</sup> Laboratório de Acarologia, Tecnovates, Universidade do Vale do Taquari - Univates, Lajeado, 95914-014, Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil.

<sup>c</sup> Departamento de Microbiologia, Imunologia e Parasitologia, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul. 90050-170 Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil.

<sup>d</sup> CNPq Researcher.

#### **Original research**

#### ABSTRACT

Two mite species belonging to the genus *Agistemus* Summers (Acari: Stigmaeidae) were collected from wild plants known as "chile piquín" (*Capsicum anuumm* L. var. *glabriusculum*) in two protected naturals areas, state of Tamaulipas, Mexico. *Agistemus piquinnus* Monjarás-Barrera & Johann **n. sp.** is described and illustrated. *Agistemus brasiliensis* Matioli, Ueckermann & Oliveira, 2002 is a new record for Mexico. A key to the *Agistemus* species of Mexico is provided.

**Keywords** Raphignathoidea; Protected Naturals Areas; Trombidiformes; chile piquín; Tamaulipas **Zoobank** http://zoobank.org/922C0CDD-E7B9-4670-8AE3-863621A79BAB

#### Introduction

In several ecosystems and crops, mites of the family Stigmaeidae are one of the most important predatory mites after Phytoseiidae (Santos & Laing, 1985) and are considered economically important as predators of other mites and small arthropods (Fan & Zhang, 2005; Fan & Flechtmann, 2015). Stigmaeid mites have been studied as potential predators against pestiferous mites of the families Eriophyidae, Tetranychidae, Tenuipalpidae and Tarsonemidae (Gerson *et al.*, 2003; Johann *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, its correct identification is fundamental for management programs of these mites (Zhang, 2003).

The genus Agistemus Summers, 1960 comprise more than 87 described species (Fan et al., 2016; Paktinat-Saeij et al., 2017; Rehman et al., 2018); of which, seven were recorded from Mexico: Agistemus floridanus González-Rodríguez (González-Rodríguez, 1965), A. longisetus González-Rodríguez (González-Rodríguez, 1963), A. fleschneri Summers, A. simplex González-Rodríguez, A. striolatus González-Rodríguez (González-Rodríguez, 1965), A. arcypaurus González-Rodríguez and A. terminalis Quayle (Estrada-Venegas et al., 2002).

Received 11 February 2020 Accepted 12 May 2020 Published 22 May 2020

Corresponding author José Irving Monjarás-Barrera: monjarasb.ji@gmail.com

Academic editor Faraji, Farid

#### DOI

10.24349/acarologia/20204378

ISSN 0044-586X (print) ISSN 2107-7207 (electronic)

Copyright Monjarás-Barrera J. I. *et al.* 

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0



The present study aims to (i) described a new species of stigmaeid mites from Mexico, (ii) record of *Agistemus brasiliensis* for the first time from this country, and (iii) provide an identification key to species of *Agistemus* so far reported from Mexico.

#### **Materials and methods**

The specimens were collected on leaves of the wild plant "chile piquín" (*Capsicum annuum* L. var. *glabriusculum*) in two Protected Naturals Areas (PNA): "El Cielo" Biosphere Reserve (23°01′07′ N, 99°08′54″ W) and PNA "Altas Cumbres" (23°41′52″ N, 99°11′04″ W and 23°46′41″ N, 99°12′12″ W), located in the municipalities of Gómez Farias and Victoria in Tamaulipas, Mexico, respectively. The collected leaves were transported to the laboratory of Ecología de Poblaciones in the Instituto de Ecología Aplicada of Universidad Autónoma de Tamaulipas. Mite extraction followed Dhooria (2016) and slide preparations were carried out according to Jeppson *et al.*, (1975). The mites were observed under Phase Contrast (PH) and Differential Interference Contrast (DIC) microscope Zeiss AXIO Imager.Z2 in the Laboratory of Acarology of Universidade do Vale do Taquari - Univates, Lajeado, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

The terminology and abbreviations are based on Grandjean (1944) and Kethley (1990). All measurements are given in micrometers ( $\mu$ m) and the measurements of the holotype are followed by ranges of the paratypes in parentheses.

Holotype female and three paratype males were deposited at Departamento de Entomologia e Acarologia, Escola Superior de Agricultura "Luiz de Queiroz", Universidade de São Paulo (ESALQ/USP), Piracicaba, São Paulo State, Brazil. Two paratypes female were deposited at "Colección Nacional de Ácaros" (CNAC) of Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM) in Mexico city, Mexico.

#### **Taxonomy**

#### Family: Stigmaeidae Oudemans, 1931

#### Genus: Agistemus Summers, 1960

Type species: *Caligonus terminalis* Quayle, 1912, by original designation. *Agistemus terminalis* (Quayle), Summers, 1960: 234.

#### Agistemus brasiliensis Matioli, Ueckermann & Oliveira, 2002

#### (Table 1)

**Distribution** — Agistemus brasiliensis was reported on Citrus sinensis (L.) Osbeck in São Paulo, Brazil, feeding on Panonychus citri (McGregor) (Tetranychidae) and Brevipalpus phoenicis (Geijskes) (Tenuipalpidae) (Matioli et al., 2002). It is recorded from Coffea arabica L. and C. canephora P. (Mineiro et al., 2010). It is the only species collected on Vitis vinifera L. Chardonnay and Merlot cultivar, Vitis labrusca L. Bordeaux cultivar and Solanum americanum Mill. (Johann et al., 2013). Agistemus brasiliensis has only been recorded from two countries worldwide, Brazil (Fan et al., 2019) and Mexico (present study).

**Remarks** — No morphological differences were observed between specimens from Mexico and those described by Matioli *et al.*, (2002). Measurements of the Mexican specimens are provided in Table 1.

**Material examined** — All specimens were found on leaves of *Capsicum annuum* L. var. *glabriusculum* at, Ojo de Agua, Gomez Farias Tamaulipas, Mexico; J. I. Monjarás B. leg.; two females; February 17, 2017; one female, March 3, 2017; one male, February 17, 2017; one male, October 13, 2017; one male, November 10, 2017.

#### Agistemus piquinnus Monjarás-Barrera & Johann n. sp.

Zoobank: AC9EF2C2-8565-4982-9AEB-F1DBE9BA2D88

#### (Figures 1-5)

**Diagnosis (female)** — Prodorsal and hysterosomal shields smooth; length of seta  $c_1$  longer than the distance between the bases of  $c_1$  and  $d_1$ ; the ratio vi/vi-vi 2.8 (2.8-3.0); ratio  $c_1/c_1-c_1$  2.1 (2.1-2.3); ratio: *pob/eye*: 3.2 (3.0-3.2). Aggenital shield not defined, bearing two pair of setae  $(ag_1-ag_2)$ ; seta  $g_1$  extending beyond the base of  $ps_2$ ; setae  $ps_1$  and  $ps_2$  slightly barbed and more robust than  $ps_3$ ; femora I–IV 5-4-2-2.

Table 1 Measurements of Agistemus brasiliensis Matioli, Ueckermann & Oliveira, 2002 collected in Mexico.

| Character   | Female (n=3) |       |     |     | Male (n=3) |      |     |     |
|---|--------------|-------|-----|-----|------------|------|-----|-----|
| measured  | Mean         | SD    | Min | Max | Mean       | SD   | Min | Max |
| Body length   | 325          | 15.62 | 315 | 343 | 291        | 27   | 260 | 310 |
| Idiosoma  | 259          | 21.2  | 244 | 283 | 239        | 20.5 | 215 | 251 |
| Body width  | 206          | 22.9  | 190 | 232 | 173        | 16.8 | 154 | 184 |
| vi  | 50           | 0.6   | 49  | 50  | 40         | 2.1  | 38  | 42  |
| ve  | 71           | 3.8   | 68  | 75  | 54         | 2.1  | 52  | 56  |
| sce   | 67           | 1.5   | 66  | 69  | 50         | 2.1  | 48  | 52  |
| <i>c</i> <sub>1</sub>   | 57           | 3.1   | 54  | 60  | 41         | 2.3  | 40  | 44  |
| $c_2$   | 50           | 1.2   | 49  | 51  | 40         | 2.3  | 39  | 43  |
| $d_{1}$   | 60           | 1.5   | 59  | 62  | 35         | 0.6  | 35  | 36  |
| $d_2$   | 62           | 0     | 62  | 62  | 43         | 3    | 40  | 46  |
| <i>e</i> <sub>1</sub>   | 66           | 0.6   | 65  | 66  | 28         | 2.5  | 26  | 31  |
| <i>e</i> <sub>2</sub>   | 68           | 2.1   | 66  | 70  | 43         | 2.1  | 41  | 45  |
| f   | 55           | 2.9   | 53  | 58  | 49         | 1    | 48  | 50  |
| $h_{1}$   | 37           | 1.2   | 36  | 38  | 14         | 0.6  | 14  | 15  |
| $h_2$   | 24           | 0.6   | 23  | 24  | 18         | 0.6  | 17  | 18  |
| vi-vi   | 22           | 2.9   | 20  | 25  | 20         | 1.7  | 18  | 21  |
| <i>c</i> <sub>1</sub> - <i>c</i> <sub>1</sub>                         | 28           | 1.5   | 26  | 29  | 21         | 4.6  | 17  | 26  |
| $d_1$ - $d_1$   | 86           | 2.1   | 84  | 88  | 68         | 10   | 60  | 79  |
| <i>e</i> <sub>1</sub> - <i>e</i> <sub>1</sub>                         | 26           | 1.7   | 24  | 27  | 15         | 3.5  | 12  | 19  |
| f-f   | 60           | 4.9   | 54  | 63  | 30         | 2.3  | 27  | 31  |
| $h_1$ - $h_1$   | 13           | 1     | 12  | 14  | 5          | 1    | 4   | 6   |
| vi/vi-vi  | 2.3          | 0.3   | 2   | 2.5 | 2          | 0.3  | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| <i>c</i> <sub>1</sub> / <i>c</i> <sub>1</sub> - <i>c</i> <sub>1</sub> | 2.1          | 0.2   | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2          | 0.4  | 1.5 | 2.4 |
| $d_{1}/d_{1}-d_{1}$   | 0.7          | 0     | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1          | 0.1  | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| <i>e</i> <sub>1</sub> / <i>e</i> <sub>1</sub> - <i>e</i> <sub>1</sub> | 2.5          | 0.2   | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2          | 0.5  | 1.4 | 2.3 |
| <i>f/f-f</i>  | 0.9          | 0.1   | 0.8 | 1   | 2          | 0.1  | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| $h_{1}/h_{1}-h_{1}$   | 2.8          | 0.2   | 2.7 | 3   | 3          | 0.5  | 2.5 | 3.5 |

SD= Standard Deviation

#### Description

#### Female

#### (n=3)

Dorsum (Figures 1A-B) — Length of idiosoma 323 (323-365); width of idiosoma 264 (264-295). Idiosoma broadly oval in dorso-ventral view. Prodorsal shield triangular, indented posteriorly and smooth. Prodorsal shield with 3 pairs of setae (vi, ve and sci), one pair of eves 9 (9-10) in diameter and one pair of post-ocular bodies (pob) 29 (29-30) in diameter; humeral shields smooth, bearing  $c_2$ . Hysterosomal shield smooth, with five pairs of setae  $(c_1, d_1, d_2, e_1)$ and  $e_2$ ; intercalary shields smooth, with setae  $f_1$ ; suranal shield entire and smooth, with two pairs of setae,  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ . Dorsal setae set on small tubercles, barbed, with blunt tips,  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ . are distinctly shorter. Length of dorsal setae: vi 58 (58-60); ve 82 (82-85); sci 79 (73-79); c<sub>1</sub> 73  $(73-75); c_2 46 (45-50); d_1 72 (70-75); d_2 67 (67-73); e_1 73 (73-80); e_2 76 (76-80); f_1 60 (60-63);$  $h_1$  38 (38-40) and  $h_2$  25 (25-28). Distances between dorsal setae: vi-vi 21 (20-21); ve-ve 56 (50-56); vi–ve 19 (18-23); ve–sci 47 (43-47); sci–sci 114 (114-118); c<sub>1</sub>–c<sub>1</sub> 35 (33-35); c<sub>1</sub>–d<sub>1</sub> 51 (51-55);  $c_1 - c_2$  83 (83-98);  $c_1 - d_2$  59 (59-63);  $d_1 - d_1$  74 (68-75);  $d_1 - d_2$  29 (25-29);  $d_1 - e_1$  59  $(50-59); d_1-e_2 37 (35-40); d_2-d_2 133 (130-135); d_2-e_2 42 (42-48); e_1-e_1 30 (25-30); e_2-e_2 108$ (108-115);  $e_1 - f_1 30 (30-58)$ ;  $f_1 - f_1 67 (63-75)$ ;  $f_1 - h_1 38 (38-48)$ ;  $h_1 - h_1 13 (10-13)$ ;  $h_1 - h_2 21$ (15-21);  $h_2-h_2$  49 (25-49). Ratios: *pob/eye*: 3.2 (3.0-3.2); *vi/vi–vi* 2.8 (2.8-3.0);  $c_1/c_1-c_1$  2.1  $(2.1-2.3); d_1/d_1-d_1 1.0 (1.0); e_1/e_1-e_1 2.4 (2.4-3.2); f_1/f_1-f_1 0.9 (0.8-1.0); h_1/h_1-h_1 2.9 (2.9-4.0);$  $c_1 - c_1/d_1 - d_1 0.5 (0.4 - 0.5); c_1 - c_1/e_1 - e_1 1.2 (1.2); d_1 - d_1/e_1 - e_1 2.5 (2.5 - 2.7); h_1/h_2 1.5 (1.4 - 1.5);$  $c_1 - c_1$ :  $d_1 - d_1$ :  $e_1 - e_1$ :  $f_1 - f_1 = 1.2 (1.2)$ : 2.5 (2.5-2.7): 1.0: 2.2 (2.2-2.7).

*Venter* (Figures 1C-D) — Ventral surface ornamented with striations; bearing three pairs of setae (*Ia*, *3a* and *4a*). Aggenital shield not defined, bearing two pairs of setae ( $ag_1$  and  $ag_2$ ). Anogenital valves with one pair of genital ( $g_1$ ) setae and three pairs of pseudanal setae ( $ps_1-ps_3$ ). Seta  $g_1$  reaching to base of seta  $ps_2$ . Setae  $ps_1$  and  $ps_2$  slightly barbed and more robust than  $ps_3$ . Measurements of setae: *Ia* 31 (25-31); *3a* 28 (23-28); *4a* 23 (20-23);  $ag_1$  16 (13-16);  $ag_2$  15 (13-15);  $g_1$  26 (20-26);  $ps_1$  14 (13-14);  $ps_2$  16 (15-16);  $ps_3$  13 (13-15);  $g_1$ - $ps_3$  29 (28-29).

Gnathosoma (Figure 2A) — Gnathosoma (including palp) 143 (143-150); subcapitulum 84 (80-84); palp 101 (100-103); chelicera 99 (93-105). Subcapitulum bearing subcapitular setae m 32 (30-33) and n 48 (48) and adoral setae  $or_1$  18 (18-19) and  $or_2$  19 (18-23). Distance m–m 44 (43-45), n–n 36 (30-36) and m–n 7 (7-8). Palp five segmented; palptrochanter without setae; palpfemur with two smooth and one barbed setae; palpgenu with one seta; palptibia with two tactile setae + one well-developed claw + one spine-like accessory claw; palptarsus with four tactile setae + one solenidion ( $\omega$ ), one subapical eupathidium and one distal trifurcate eupathidium.

*Legs* (Figures 3A-D) — Length of legs I–IV: 297 (297-325); 264 (264-293); 253 (250-275); 277 (275-290). Femur I 77 (77-85), genu I 27 (27-28), tibia I 55 (55-57), tarsus I 75 (70-83). Dorsal most seta on femur I (*dFI*) barbed 41 (41-45), longer than  $h_1$ , 1.1 (1.1-1.2) times length of  $h_1$ ; dorsal most seta on genu I (*dGI*) barbed 36 (35-38). Chaetotaxy: coxae (excluding *la*, *3a* and *4a*) 2(+1elcl)-1-2-2, trochanters 1-1-1-1, femora 5-4-2-2, genua 3(+1 $\kappa$ )-1-0-0, tibiae 5(+1 $\varphi$ p)-5(+1 $\varphi$ p)-5(+1 $\varphi$ p), tarsi 12(+1 $\omega$ )-9(+1 $\omega$ )-7(+1 $\omega$ )-7. Length of solenidia:  $\omega$ I 27 (25-27);  $\omega$ II 26 (23-26);  $\omega$ III 16 (15-18).

#### Male

#### (n=3)

*Dorsum* (Figures 4A-B) — Length of idiosoma 266 (220-266); width of idiosoma 200 (177-200); idiosoma broadly oval in dorso-ventral view. Prodorsal shield triangular, indented posteriorly and smooth. Prodorsal shield with 3 pairs of setae (*vi*, *ve* and *sci*), one pair of *eyes* 8 (8-10) in diameter and one pair of post-ocular bodies (*pob*) 23 (22-23) in diameter; humeral shields smooth, bearing  $c_2$ . Hysterosomal shield smooth, with six pairs of setae ( $c_1$ ,  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$ ,  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ , and  $f_1$ ); suranal shield entire and smooth, with two pairs of setae,  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ . Dorsal setae set on small tubercles, short and barbed, with blunt tips,  $e_1$ ,  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are distinctly shorter. Length



Figure 1 Agistemus piquinnus n. sp. (female); A - Dorsum, B - Dorsum (PH), C - Anogenital area, D - Anogenital area (DIC).

of dorsal setae: vi 45 (45-54); ve 67 (67-68); sci 63 (60-65);  $c_1$  61 (57-61);  $c_2$  37 (37-40);  $d_1$  50 (47-52);  $d_2$  57 (48-59);  $e_1$  21 (21-28);  $e_2$  60 (56-60);  $f_1$  56 (54-61);  $h_1$  13 (13-15) and  $h_2$  19 (18-20). Distances between dorsal setae: vi–vi 20 (17-20); ve–ve 51 (46-51); vi–ve 18 (18-20); ve–sci 41 (36-41); sci–sci 102 (92-102);  $c_1-c_1$  28 (28-35);  $c_1-d_1$  44 (41-44);  $c_1-c_2$  78 (63-78);  $c_1-d_2$  51 (46-51);  $d_1-d_1$  63 (60-63);  $d_1-d_2$  21 (19-21);  $d_1-e_1$  41 (39-41);  $d_1-e_2$  26 (24-28);  $d_2-d_2$  107 (91-107);  $d_2-e_2$  31 (29-31);  $e_1-e_1$  23 (23);  $e_2-e_2$  87 (81-90);  $e_1-f_1$  15 (14-16);  $f_1-f_1$  30 (30-33);  $f_1-h_1$  42 (24-42);  $h_1-h_1$  7 (5-7);  $h_1-h_2$  11 (10-11);  $h_2-h_2$  34 (28-34). Ratios: pob/eye: 2.9 (2.2-2.9); vi/vi–vi 2.3 (2.3-3.2);  $c_1/c_1-c_1$  1.4 (1.4);  $d_1/d_1-d_1$  0.8 (0.8-0.9);



Figure 2 Agistemus piquinnus n. sp. Gnathosoma; A - Female, B - Male.

 $\begin{array}{l} e_{1}/e_{1}-e_{1} \ 0.9 \ (0.9-1.2); f_{1}/f_{1}-f_{1} \ 1.9 \ (1.6-2.0); h_{1}/h_{1}-h_{1} \ 1.9 \ (1.9-3.0); c_{1}-c_{1}/d_{1}-d_{1} \ 0.4 \ (0.4-0.6); \\ c_{1}-c_{1}/e_{1}-e_{1} \ 1.2 \ (1.2-1.5); d_{1}-d_{1}/e_{1}-e_{1} \ 2.7 \ (2.6-2.7); h_{1}/h_{2} \ 0.7 \ (0.7-0.8); c_{1}-c_{1}: \ d_{1}-d_{1}: \ e_{1}-e_{1}: \\ f_{1}-f_{1} = 1.2 \ (1.2-1.5): \ 2.7 \ (2.6-2.7): \ 1.0: \ 1.3 \ (1.3-1.4) \end{array}$ 

*Venter* (Figures 4C-D) — Ventral surface ornamented with striations; bearing three pairs of setae (*1a*, *3a* and *4a*). Aggenital shield defined, with one pair of setae ( $g_1$ ). Anogenital valves with three pairs of pseudanal setae ( $ps_{1-3}$ ),  $ps_1$  smaller than  $ps_2$  and  $ps_3$ . Measurements of setae: *1a* 29 (28-31); *3a* 22 (22-34); *4a* 24 (24-25);  $ag_1$  14 (14-15);  $ps_1$  5 (5-10);  $ps_2$  12 (11-12);  $ps_3$  11 (11-12).

Gnathosoma (Figure 2B) — Gnathosoma (including palp) 127 (127-143); subcapitulum 79 (77-85); palp 93 (93-96); chelicera 95 (84-95). Subcapitulum bearing subcapitular setae m 20 (20-37) and n 42 (42-48) and adoral setae  $or_1$  18 (16-23) and  $or_2$  21 (20-21). Distance m–m 42 (35-42), n–n 31 (25-34) and m–n 8 (6-8). Palp five segmented; palptrochanter without setae; palpfemur with two barbed and one smooth seta; palpgenu with one seta; palptibia with two tactile setae + one well-developed claw + one spine-like accessory claw; palptarsus with four tactile setae + one solenidion ( $\omega$ ), one subapical eupathidium and one distal trifid eupathidium.

*Legs* (Figures 5A-D) — Length of legs I–IV: 288 (288-300); 240 (239-246); 219 (219-236); 247 (243-258). Femur I 76 (75-77), genu I 22 (22-24), tibia I 49 (49-51), tarsus I 69 (69-74). Dorsal most seta on femur I (*dFI*) barbed 49 (49-53), longer than  $h_1$ , 3.8 (3.5-4.1) times length of  $h_1$ ; dorsal most seta on genu I (*dGI*) barbed 36 (36-39). Chaetotaxy: coxae (excluding *la*, *3a* and *4a*) 2(+1elcl)-1-2-2, trochanters 1-1-1-1, femora 5-4-2-2, genua 3(+1 $\kappa$ )-1-0-0, tibiae 5(+1 $\varphi$ p)-5(+1 $\varphi$ p)-5(+1 $\varphi$ p), tarsi 12(+2 $\omega$ )-9(+2 $\omega$ )-7(+1 $\omega$ )-7(+1 $\omega$ ). Length of solenidia: Tarsus I  $\omega$ I 37 (34-37),  $\omega$ II 26 (25-26); Tarsus II  $\omega$ I 36 (32-36),  $\omega$ II 32 (27-32); Tarsus III  $\omega$ I 15 (15); Tarsus IV  $\omega$ I 19 (19-20).

Immature stages — unknown

**Remarks** — The new species resembles *Agistemus longisetus* González-Rodríguez, 1963 and *A. brasiliensis* Matioli *et al.*, 2002; but *Agistemus piquinnus* **n. sp.** can be distinguished



Figure 3 Agistemus piquinnus n. sp. (female); A - Leg I, B Leg II, C - Leg III, D - Leg IV.

from *A. longisetus* by a) lengths of median hysterosomal setae  $c_1$  (73),  $d_1$  (72) and  $e_1$  (73) are shorter (vs 104, 103 and 115, respectively); b) ventral setae *Ia* (29), *3a* (22) and *4a* (24) are shorter (vs 41, 39 and 39, respectively) c) the ratio *pob/eye* (3.2), *sci/pob* (2.7), *vi/vi-vi* (2.8),  $c_1/c_1-c_1$  (2.1) and  $e_1/e_1-e_1$  (2.4) are different (vs 4.1, 2.2, 2.6, 2.8 and 2.8, respectively); d) aggenital shield not horseshoe-shape and  $g_1$  (26) twice longer than  $ps_3$  (13) [vs aggenital shield in horseshoe-shape and  $g_1$  (23) slightly longer than  $ps_3$  (20)]. *Agistemus piquinnus* **n. sp.** can be distinguished from *A. brasiliensis* by a) setae *vi* (58), *ve* (82) and *sci* (79) are longer (vs 47, 77 and 72, respectively); b) ratio *pob/eye* (3.2), *vi/vi-vi* (2.8),  $c_1/c_1-c_1$  (2.1),  $d_1/d_1-d_1$  (1.0),  $e_1/e_1-e_1$  (2.4) are greater (vs 2.0, 2.5, 1.7, 0.8 and 1.9, respectively),  $h_1/h_1-h_1$  (2.9) and  $h_1/h_2$ (1.5) are less (vs 5.6 and 1.9, respectively); c) aggenital shield not horseshoe-shape and seta  $g_1$ extending beyond the base of  $ps_2$  (vs aggenital shield in horseshoe-shape and setae  $g_1$  reach just past  $ps_3$ ).

Etymology — The new species is named after the regional name of host plant "piquín".

**Type material** —Holotype, 2 females paratypes and 3 paratypes males were collected on leaves of *Capsicum annuum* L. var. *glabriusculum* (Solanaceae), Ciudad Victoria, Tamaulipas, Mexico. Holotype, female on leaf of *Capsicum annuum* L. var. *glabriusculum* (Solanaceae), Cañón de la Peregrina, Ciudad Victoria, Tamaulipas. November 12, 2017. J. I. Monjarás B. leg.; two females collected on leaves of *Capsicum annuum* L. var. *glabriusculum* (Solanaceae).



Figure 4 Agistemus piquinnus n. sp. (male); A - Dorsum, B - Dorsum (PH), C - Anogenital area, D - Anogenital area (DIC).

Cañón de la Peregrina, Ciudad Victoria, Tamaulipas. July 10, 2019. J. I. Monjarás B. One male collected on leaf of *Capsicum annuum* L. var. *glabriusculum* (Solanaceae), Cañón de la Peregrina, Ciudad Victoria, Tamaulipas. April 28, 2017. J. I. Monjarás B. leg. Two males collected on leaves of *Capsicum annuum* L. var. *glabriusculum* (Solanaceae). Cañón de la Peregrina, Ciudad Victoria, Tamaulipas. November 12, 2017. J. I. Monjarás B. leg.



Figure 5 Agistemus piquinnus n. sp. (male); A - Leg I, B - Leg II, C - Leg III, D - Leg IV.

#### Key to females of Agistemus from Mexico

(modified from Paktinat-Saeij et al., 2016)

| 1. One pair of aggenital setae (species group <i>fleschneri</i> ).       2         — Two pairs of aggenital setae (species group <i>terminalis</i> ).       3   |
|---|
| 2. Dorsal shield reticulated; ratios $vi/vi$ - $vi$ 2.6, $c_1/c_1$ - $c_1$ 1.0, $e_1/e_1$ - $e_1$ 1.8   |
| <ul> <li>3. Dorsal shield ornamented with small alveoli; ratios <i>vi/vi-vi</i> 2.6, <i>e<sub>1</sub>/e<sub>1</sub>-e<sub>1</sub></i> 2.2<i>A. arcypaurus</i></li> <li>González-Rodríguez</li> <li>— Dorsal shield smooth</li></ul> |
| 4. Setae $c_1$ shorter than distance between the bases of $c_1$ - $d_1$   |
| 5. Setae $g_1$ reach to the base of setae $ps_3$ ; ratios $vi/vi$ - $vi$ 2.4, $c_1/c_1$ - $c_1$ 0.6 A. simplex González-Rodríguez   |

| — Setae $g_1$ reach to the base of setae $ps_2$   |  |
|---|--|
| 6. Ratios $vi/vi$ - $vi$ 1.0, $c_1/c_1$ - $c_1$ 0.6<br>— Ratio $vi/vi$ - $vi$ 1.5-1.7, $c_1/c_1$ - $c_1$ 1.5-1.6                        | <i>A. terminalis</i> (Quayle)<br><i>A. floridanus</i> González-Rodríguez |
| <ul> <li>7. Length of medial hysterosomal setae &gt; 100μm</li> <li>— Length of medial hysterosomal setae &lt; 100μm</li> </ul>         | <i>A. longisetus</i> González-Rodríguez                                  |
| 8. Ratios <i>pob/eye</i> 3.1, $d_1/d_1$ - $d_1$ 1.0, $h_1/h_2$ 1.4<br>— Ratios <i>pob/eye</i> 2.0, $d_1/d_1$ - $d_1$ 0.8, $h_1/h_2$ 1.9 |  |

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the Universidad Autónoma de Tamaulipas for supporting this research through project PFI2016-EB-07, PRODEP and CONACYT for the economic support to the doctoral stay. The authors are grateful to Universidade do Vale do Taquari - Univates for providing necessary materials during the study.

#### References

Dhooria M.S. 2016. Acarine Technology. In: Dhooria M.S. (Ed) Fundamental of Applied Acarology, Springer Nature, Singapore. pp 21-39. doi:10.1007/978-981-10-1594-6

Estrada-Venegas E.G., Rodriguez-Navarro S., McMurtry J.A. 2002. Some avocado mites from Michoacán, México. Int. J. Acarol., 28: 387-393. doi:10.1080/01647950208684318

Fan Q.-H., Flechtmann C.H.W. 2015. Chapter 7. Stigmaeidae. In: Carrillo D., de Moraes G.J., Peña J. (Eds) Prospects for Biological Control of Plant Feeding Mites and Other Harmful Organisms, Springer, NY. pp 185-206. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-150

Fan Q.-H., Zhang Z.-Q. 2005 Raphignathoidea (Acari: Prostigmata). Fauna of New Zealand, 52, 1-400. Fan Q.-H., Flechtmann C.H.W., de Moraes G.J. 2016. Annotated catalogue of Stigmaeidae (Acari: Prostigmata), with a pictorial key to genera. Zootaxa, 4176: 1-199. doi:10.11646/zootaxa.4176.1.1

Fan Q.-H., Fletchmann, C.H.W., de Moraes G.J. 2019 Emendations and updates to "Annotated catalogue of Stigmaeidae (Acari: Prostigmata), with a pictorial key to genera. Zootaxa, 4647(1): 088-103. doi:10.11646/zootaxa.4647.1.9

Gerson U., Smiley R., Ochoa R. 2003 Acarine pests of citrus: overview and non-chemical control. Syst. Appl. Acarol., 8: 3-12. doi:10.11158/saa.8.1.

González-Rodríguez R.H. 1963. Four new mites of the genus Agistemus Summers, 1960 (Acarina: Stigmaeidae). Acarologia, 5: 342-350.

González-Rodríguez R.H. 1965. A taxonomic study of the genera Mediolata, Zetzellia and Agistemus (Acarina: Stigmaeidae). Univ. Calif. Publ. Entomol., 41: 1-64.

Grandjean F. 1944. Observations sur les acariens de la famille des Stigmaeidae. Arch. Sci. Phys. Nat., 26: 103-131.

Jeppson L.R., Keifer H.H., Baker E.W. 1975. Mites injurious to economic plants. Berkeley: University of California Press, 641 pp.

Johann L., Carvalho G.S., Majolo F., Ferla N.J. 2013. Stigmaeid mites (Acari: Stigmaeidae) from vineyards in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Zootaxa, 3701: 238-256. doi:10.11646/zootaxa.3701.

Kethley J. 1990. Acariformes, Prostigmata (Actinedida). In: Dindal D.L. (Ed) Soil Biology Guide. John Wiley & Sons, New York, pp 667-756.

Matioli A.L., Ueckermann E.A., Oliveira C.A.L. 2002. Some stigmaeid and eupalopsellid mites from citrus orchards in Brazil (Acari: Stigmaeidae and Eupalopsellidae). Int. J. Acarol., 28: 99-120. doi:10.1080/01647950208

Mineiro, J.L.C., Raga, A., Sato, M.E., Matioli, A.L., Berton, L.H.C. 2010. Mites of coffee plants (Coffea spp.) in State of São Paulo. Part II. Prostigmata [Ácaros de cafeeiro (Coffea spp.) no Estado de São Paulo, Brasil. Parte II. Prostigmata]. Biota Neotrop., 10(4): 215-226. doi:10.1590/ \$1676-06032010000400027

Oudemans, A.C. 1931. Acarologische aanteekeningen CVIII. Entomol. Ber. 8(179): 251-263. Paktinat-Saeij S., Barroso G., Cruz W.P.D. 2017. Two new species of Stigmaeidae (Acari: Trombidiformes: Rhaphignathoidea) from Brazil. Zootaxa, 4242: 372-382. doi:10.11646/zootaxa.4242.2.9

Rehman M.U., Kamran M., Alatawi F.J. 2018. Genus Agistemus Summers (Acari: Trombidiformes: Stigmaeidae) from Saudi Arabia and a key to the world species. Syst. Appl. Acarol., 23: 1051-1072. doi:10.11158/saa.23.6.5

Santos M.A., Laing J.E. 1985. Stigmaeidae predators. In: Helle W, Sabelis MW (Eds) Spider mites: their biology, natural enemies and control. V.1B. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, pp 197-203.

Summers, F.M. 1960. Several stigmaeid mites formerly included in *Mediolata* redescribed in *Zetzellia* Ouds and *Agistemus* new genus. Proc. Entomol. Soc. Wash., 62(4): 233-247.
Zhang Z.-Q. 2003. Mites of Greenhouses, Identification, Biology and Control. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, 244 pp. doi:10.1079/9780851995908.0000